

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/982,925	10/22/2001	Naoyuki Sawasaki	1075.1177	8458	
21171 7:	590 04/07/2006		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			RUDY, ANDREW J		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		3627	3627 DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006	
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/982,925	SAWASAKI, NAOYUKI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627		

	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3027	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence ado	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice ving replies: (1) an amendment tice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	ailing date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amo hortened statutory period for reply than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The appropr originally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further column (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see		ecause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially		the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a control NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non	-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		<b>,</b>	<b>( /</b> -
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		ite, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		will be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) vithdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after	er entry is below or attacl	ned.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu The REMARKS are not convincing. The rejection is mai		n in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).		er No(s).	
13.	, 10,00,00	Andrew Joseph	Roby
		Andrew Joseph Ru Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3627	dy O